

**To:** CN=Ayn Schmit/OU=R8/O=USEPA/C=US@EPA;CN=Kate Fay/OU=R8/O=USEPA/C=US@EPA;CN=Gregory Oberley/OU=R8/O=USEPA/C=US@EPA;CN=Nathan Wiser/OU=R8/O=USEPA/C=US@EPA;David Hogle@EPA[]; N=Kate Fay/OU=R8/O=USEPA/C=US@EPA;CN=Gregory Oberley/OU=R8/O=USEPA/C=US@EPA;CN=Nathan Wiser/OU=R8/O=USEPA/C=US@EPA;David Hogle@EPA[]; N=Gregory Oberley/OU=R8/O=USEPA/C=US@EPA;CN=Nathan Wiser/OU=R8/O=USEPA/C=US@EPA;David Hogle@EPA[]; N=Nathan Wiser/OU=R8/O=USEPA/C=US@EPA;David Hogle@EPA[]; avid Hogle@EPA[]

**Cc:** []

**From:** CN=Marcella Hutchinson/OU=R8/O=USEPA/C=US

**Sent:** Mon 11/5/2012 7:39:32 PM

**Subject:** Fw: [WQ News] EPA vindicated on Pavillion fracking pollution tests

[Hotspot](#)

[Click to Print](#)

[By Joel Dyer](#)

[Hotspot](#)

[letters@boulderweekly.com](mailto:letters@boulderweekly.com)

[lorettalohman@gmail.com](mailto:lorettalohman@gmail.com)

[loretta.lohman@colostate.edu](mailto:loretta.lohman@colostate.edu)

[www.npscolorado.com](http://www.npscolorado.com)

[\(embedded image\)](#)

[\(embedded image\)](#)

FYI - local paper

Marcella Hutchinson  
Colorado Watershed Coordinator/Non Point Source Project Officer  
US EPA Region 8  
[hutchinson.marcella@epa.gov](mailto:hutchinson.marcella@epa.gov)  
(303) 312-6753  
1595 Wynkoop Street  
Denver, CO 80202

Change is the only constant.

- Heraclitus

----- Forwarded by Marcella Hutchinson/R8/USEPA/US on 11/05/2012 12:38 PM -----

**From:** Loretta Lohman <[lorettalohman@gmail.com](mailto:lorettalohman@gmail.com)>

**To:** [wq-news@googlegroups.com](mailto:wq-news@googlegroups.com),

**Date:** 10/12/2012 03:09 PM

**Subject:** [WQ News] EPA vindicated on Pavillion fracking pollution tests

**Sent by:** [wq-news@googlegroups.com](mailto:wq-news@googlegroups.com)

[Click to Print](#)

Thursday, October 11, 2012

EPA vindicated on Pavillion fracking pollution tests

Pavillion groundwater tests appear to confirm earlier EPA findings on fracking

By Joel Dyer

Photo by Joel Dyer

The EPA's deep test well, left, and a horse

When the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) released its long-awaited draft report on its investigation into the groundwater contamination near Pavillion, Wyo., in 2011, the oil and gas industry

knew it was in trouble. The EPA's Pavillion report was the first study to blame drinking water contamination on hydraulic fracturing, the industry's sacred cash cow. And worse for the industry, local farm and ranch families were blaming a variety of health problems on the contaminated water that was flowing from their wells. The industry's reaction to the EPA report was swift and predictable.

Oil and gas insiders from company CEOs to oil-funded university researchers blamed everything for the contamination, with the exception of fracking: naturally occurring faults, poor drilling practices such as not enough casing, bad cement jobs and unlined storage ponds.

They could explain away, albeit with logic-strained arguments, why the area well water smelled and tasted like lighter fluid. But they struggled to find an explanation for why the EPA's test wells found many of the chemicals associated with fracking in the deeper ground water aquifer.

So last November, the industry grasped at its last straw. It claimed that the EPA was incompetent, used shoddy science and had actually caused the contamination itself when it drilled its test wells. It then enlisted its political allies from Congress to the Wyoming governor's mansion to discredit the EPA and block the release of the agency's final report, which blamed fracking for the contamination and consequently would have had major ramifications for the entire nation when it came to fracking oil and gas wells. As a result of the political pressure, the EPA backed down and agreed to wait until an outside source retested the water. The aquifer was retested earlier this year by the U.S. Geological Survey (USGS) and the results of the new test were released on Sept. 26. According to a statement released by EPA spokeswoman Alisha Johnson, the USGS test results were "generally consistent with groundwater monitoring data previously released by EPA."

In addition, experts, including hydrologist Tom Myers of Reno, Nev., who was hired by Sierra Club, Earthworks and the Natural Resources Defense Council to evaluate the USGS findings in comparison to EPA's earlier report, agree that the EPA has been vindicated and appears to have been correct in its earlier findings.

The ball is now back in the oil and gas industry's court, but it remains to be seen if it will continue to dispute the EPA and USGS findings or if the residents of the small town of Pavillion will finally be compensated for their pain and suffering.

Respond: [letters@boulderweekly.com](mailto:letters@boulderweekly.com)

--

Loretta Lohman, Ph.D.  
Nonpoint Source Outreach Coordinator  
Colorado State University  
Colorado Water Institute  
3375 W. Aqueduct Avenue  
Littleton, CO 80123  
[lorettalohman@gmail.com](mailto:lorettalohman@gmail.com)  
[loretta.lohman@colostate.edu](mailto:loretta.lohman@colostate.edu)  
303-549-3063  
[www.npscolorado.com](http://www.npscolorado.com)

--

You received this message because you are subscribed to the Google Groups "WQ News" group.

To post to this group, send email to [wq-news@googlegroups.com](mailto:wq-news@googlegroups.com).

To unsubscribe from this group, send email to [wq-news+unsubscribe@googlegroups.com](mailto:wq-news+unsubscribe@googlegroups.com).

For more options, visit this group at <http://groups.google.com/group/wq-news?hl=en>.